## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

Site Update Solutions LLC, Plaintiff	§ §	
V.	§	
v.	§	
Accor North America, Inc.;	8 §	Case No.2:10-cv-151
Adobe Systems, Incorporated;	\$ §	Cuse 1(0.2.10 eV 131
Amazon.com Inc.;	8 §	
CBS Corp.;	§	
CDW;	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Choice Hotels International, Inc.;	§	
Deli Management, Inc.;	§	
Daily News L.P.;	§	
Electronic Arts, Inc.;	§	
Enterprise Rent-A-Car Company;	§	
Facebook, Inc.;	§	
Gannett Satellite Information Network, Inc.;	§	
HSN, Inc.;	§	
Intuit, Inc.;	§	
Linkedin Corporation;	§	
Monster Worldwide, Inc.;	§	
Myspace, Inc.;	§	
MSNBC Interactive News LLC;	§	
NBC Universal, Inc.;	§	
Newegg, Inc.;	§	
Nissan North America, Inc.;	§	
Office Max, Inc.;	§	
Overstock.com;	§	
Salesforce.com, Inc.;	§	
Sears, Roebuck and Co.;	§	
Staples, Inc.;	§	
Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide, Inc.;	§	
Target Corporation;	§	
Thomson Reuters Holdings, Inc.;	§	
Ticketmaster Entertainment, Inc.;	§	
Time, Inc.;	§ § §	
Turner Broadcasting System, Inc.;	§	
Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.;	§	
The Walt Disney Company; and	§	
Wyndham Worldwide, Inc.,	§	
Defendants	§	

# PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND TO EXTEND DEFENDANTS' DEADLINE TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15 and Local Rule CV-7(j), Plaintiff Site Update Solutions respectfully submits this Motion for Leave to File Its Second Amended Complaint and to Extend Defendants' Deadline to Answer or Otherwise Respond, and would respectfully show as follows:

#### I. THE PURPOSE FOR AMENDING THE COMPLAINT

Plaintiff's Complaint named as defendants those companies it believed to be controlling certain websites, including Time, Inc. and The Walt Disney Company. Subsequent to the filing of the Complaint, Plaintiff learned that other entities control certain of the websites at issue. On information and belief Time Warner, Inc. is the entity responsible for <a href="www.time.com">www.time.com</a>, while American Broadcasting Companies, Inc. is the entity responsible for <a href="www.abc.com">www.abc.com</a>. Plaintiff seeks leave to substitute these parties for Time, Inc. and The Walt Disney Company, respectively.

Plaintiff also seeks leave to add an additional defendant, Red Hat, Inc. ("Red Hat"). Red Hat, on information and belief, updates internet search engine databases with current content from its websites, including at least <a href="www.bugzilla.redhat.com">www.bugzilla.redhat.com</a>, <a href="http://magazine.redhat.com">http://magazine.redhat.com</a>, and <a href="http://press.redhat.com">http://magazine.redhat.com</a>, and <a href="http://press.redhat.com">http://press.redhat.com</a>, by using an XML Sitemap and submitting it to various search engines. By utilizing such systems, Site Update Solutions believes that Red Hat has in the past and continues to infringe either alone or conjunctively with others at least claim 8 of the RE'683 patent.

Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint does not substantively change the nature of the allegations as to the other named defendants, although it has clarified its allegations somewhat

by stating that the various defendants may infringe alone or in conjunction with others. A copy of Plaintiff's proposed Second Amended Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit A. For the convenience of the Court and the parties, Plaintiff has agreed to extend the deadline for the defendants to answer or otherwise respond to the Second Amended Complaint to August 18, 2010.

Based on the information learned after the filing of its Complaint, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court grant this Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint and to Extend Defendants' Deadlines to Answer or Otherwise Respond and allow Plaintiff leave to file its Second Amended Complaint and afford the Defendants the opportunity to answer or otherwise respond on or before August 18, 2010.

## II. PROCEDURAL POSTURE OF THE CASE

Plaintiff filed its Complaint on May 11, 2010. (Doc. No. 1).

Plaintiff filed its First Amended Complaint on June 25, 2010 (Doc. No. 107).

As of the time of filing, five defendants have answered. Overstock.com answered Plaintiff's Original Complaint on June 7, 2010 (Doc. No. 94), Choice Hotel International, Inc. answered Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint on July 14, 2010 (Doc No. 153), Walmart Stores, Inc. answered Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint on July 15, 2010 (Doc. No. 163), Facebook.com answered Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint on July 30, 2010 (Doc No. 170) and Enterprise Rent-A-Car company answered Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint on July 30, 2010 (Doc. No. 175).

Following a review of the Court's docket, Plaintiff believes that counsel for the remaining defendants have entered their appearances, as well as motions for extension of time to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Complaint.

As of this date, a scheduling conference has not been set, a Rule 26(f) conference has not

been held, and no discovery has been taken or exchanged between the parties.

III. ARGUMENT AND AUTHORITIES

Rule 15(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides that leave to amend

pleadings "shall be given freely when justice so requires." Prior to becoming Chief Judge of the

Eastern District of Texas, the Honorable Thad Heartfield recognized the Supreme Court's

admonishment to freely grant leave to amend:

. . . this mandate is to be heeded . . . In the absence of any apparent or declared

reason – such as undue delay, bad faith or dilatory motive on the part of the movant, repeated failure to cure deficiencies by amendments previously allowed, undue prejudice to the opposing party by virtue of allowance of the amendment,

futility of the amendment, etc. – the leave sought should, as the rules require "be

freely given."

Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Hyundai Elec. Indus., 191 F.R.D. 119, 122 (E.D. Tex. 1999) (quoting

Foman v. Davis, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962)).

None of the foregoing factors are present in the instant case. For the reasons stated

herein, it is clear that there is no undue delay, bad faith or dilatory motive on the part of Plaintiff

for seeking the relief requested in this motion for leave to amend its complaint. Moreover, there

is no undue prejudice to the defendants.

III. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court enter an order

granting it leave of Court to file the attached Second Amended Complaint.

DATED: July 30, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Edward W. Goldstein

Edward W. Goldstein

Texas Bar. No. 08099500

Corby R. Vowell

Texas Bar No. 24031621

4

Alisa A. Lipski Texas Bar No. 24041345

## GOLDSTEIN, FAUCETT & PREBEG, LLP

1177 West Loop South, Suite 400

Houston, TX 77027

Telephone: (713) 877-1515 Facsimile: (713) 877-1737

E-mail: egoldstein@gfpiplaw.com cvowell@gfpiplaw.com alipski@gfpiplaw.com

## ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3) on July 30, 2010. Any other counsel of record will be served by first class U.S. mail.

/s/ Edward W. Goldstein
Edward W. Goldstein

## **CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE**

I hereby certify that I have conferred with counsel for Defendants and that the following Defendants agree with the relief requested herein:

Accor North America, Inc.

Adobe Systems, Incorporated

Amazon.com Inc.

CBS Corp.

CDW

Deli Management, Inc.

Daily News L.P.

Electronic Arts, Inc.

Gannett Satellite Information Network, Inc.;

HSN, Inc.

Intuit, Inc.

Linkedin Corporation

Monster Worldwide, Inc.

Myspace, Inc.

MSNBC Interactive News LLC

NBC Universal, Inc.

Newegg, Inc.
Nissan North America, Inc.
Overstock.com
Salesforce.com
Sears, Roebuck and Co.
Staples, Inc.;
Target Corporation
Thomson Reuters Holdings, Inc.

Ticketmaster Entertainment, Inc.

Turner Broadcasting System, Inc.

Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.

The Walt Disney Company

Wyndham Worldwide, Inc.

As of the time of filing, the remaining Defendants have not indicated their consent, nor their opposition.

/s/ Edward W. Goldstein
Edward W. Goldstein